Phosphor modeling and characterization

Huiying Wu
David R. Jenkins
Phosphor modeling and characterization

Huiying Wu\textsuperscript{a*} and David R. Jenkins\textsuperscript{b}
\textsuperscript{a}Micron Technology Inc., 8000 S. Federal Way, Boise, Idaho 83707, United States
\textsuperscript{b}Formerly Micron Technology Inc., 8000 S. Federal Way, Boise, Idaho 83707, United States

Abstract. The prevalent method to develop a phosphor recipe (based on trial and error) to create white light with a blue light-emitting diode (LED) is time-consuming. We developed a new method for determining parametric values in a simulated phosphor model through systematic testing of phosphor samples and optimizing the parameter values with ray-trace software. The model employs Mie volume scattering together with absorption and down-conversion. A double-integrating sphere spectral measurement system was constructed to perform phosphor characterization. It was used to characterize a YAG phosphor over a wide range of phosphor concentrations and thicknesses. The final phosphor model was proven to accurately predict the phosphor performance.
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1 Introduction

Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) are becoming widely accepted due to their exceptional energy efficiency and low maintenance cost. The global high-brightness LED market was $12.5 billion in 2011.\textsuperscript{1} The most efficient and economical way to create white light with LEDs is using phosphors to down-convert the blue light from LED chips and emit longer wavelength light. By carefully controlling the ratio between the blue light and longer wavelength phosphor converted light, the mixture is perceived as white light by human eyes.

Phosphor type(s) and phosphor concentration(s) are the key parameters in designing LEDs that emit light within a desired white color bin (chromaticity target). The prevalent method for determining the phosphor recipe is still based primarily on trial and error (package build and test) methodology, which is very time-consuming. It usually takes weeks of effort to develop a phosphor recipe for one specific chromaticity target region for one LED package design. This time-consuming process has become a major bottleneck impeding the application of new phosphors and new LED package designs.

A simulation model of phosphors is desired which will accelerate the process of phosphor recipe creation without the need to build many trial LED packages. Presently, the light propagation in phosphors is not well quantified since it involves complex volumetric scattering and light down-conversion within the phosphor material layer. Several previous studies have investigated light propagation in a phosphor medium and different assumptions were used in simulating phosphor scattering such as isotropic scattering, random scattering, and Mie scattering.\textsuperscript{2–7} However, the majority of these studies lack supporting experimental data to validate their models. Among those who supplied experimental results, their experimental setup share similar problems—the incident light only covers several discrete wavelengths; the transmitted light and reflected light are not measured simultaneously so the light source fluctuations were not excluded from the analysis; the cross talk between integrating spheres (if two such spheres were used) was ignored. In addition, the measurement techniques previously reported are not automated, therefore, are not able to support a high volume manufacturing environment.

In this paper, we will introduce a novel double integrating sphere spectral measurement system for phosphor characterization which serves to create empirical data sets that are then used to optimize parameters in phosphor models in Monte Carlo ray-trace simulations.

2 Experimental Setup

2.1 Phosphor Samples

A new YAG:Ce\textsuperscript{2+} phosphor made by Intematix Corporation was selected for this study. To collect empirical data, phosphor samples were made into thin layers with the phosphor powder immersed in silicone on top of thin glass slides. YAG phosphor powder was mixed with silicone with a controlled concentration. Then the silicone–phosphor mixture was uniformly applied, either by spin coating or molding, onto 50 mm × 50 mm × 1 mm thin transparent glass slides and cured. A total of 22 samples were prepared, with four different phosphor concentrations by weight (10%, 20%, 40%, and 80%) and seven thicknesses (ranging from 30 to 1300 μm). The concentration of the phosphor was controlled by precisely measuring the weight of phosphor particles and weight of silicone prior to mixing. The range of phosphor concentrations and phosphor layer thicknesses is wide enough to cover the desired ranges for LED package design.

2.2 Double Integrating Sphere Measurement System

A custom double integrating sphere spectral measurement system was constructed by Gamma Scientific Inc., as shown in Fig. 1.\textsuperscript{8,9} The system consists of control and data acquisition software, a xenon lamp light source (A), a monochromator (B) for controlling the spectra bandwidth...
of the incident light, a reference detector for monitoring incident light (D), two 8 in. integrating sphere (E1 and E2) and two spectro-radiometers [G1 and G2, with G1 to measure the integrated spectral power distribution (SPD) of backward (reflected) light and G2 to collect the SPD of forward (transmitted) light]. During measurement, the broadband xenon lamp spectrums are first converted into a tunable narrow bandwidth of nearly monochromatic light (FWHM 5 nm) by the monochrometer. This tunable light source has a wavelength range of 380 to 830 nm. This light is then collimated to an 8-mm diameter beam and coupled into the reflectance sphere (E1) from one side, as shown in Fig. 1. This collimated beam irradiates, at normal incidence, a phosphor sample (F) located between the two integrating spheres. The measurement of the reflected light and transmitted light is accomplished by integrating sphere and spectro-radiometer combinations E1–G1 and E2–G2, respectively. The two spectro-radiometers cover the same spectral range as the monochrometer (380 to 830 nm).

2.3 Phosphor Sample Characterization

Measurements of each phosphor sample were performed with incident light progressively scanned from 380 to 830 nm in 5-nm increments. At each wavelength increment, light incident on the phosphor samples produces a reflected SPD, which is measured by integrating sphere (E1) and spectro-radiometer (G1). Simultaneously, the SPD of the light transmitted through the phosphor sample is measured by integrating sphere (E2) and spectro-radiometer (G2). At the same time, the detector (D) monitors the incident light. This process is repeated for each phosphor sample. This produces a large quantity of data in the form of reflected and transmitted SPDs. The SPDs are subsequently used to derive radiant flux (RF), luminous flux (LF), and chromaticity (CCT, CRI, ccx, cyy, u′, v′) data.

If the incident wavelength band is out of the excitation spectra of the phosphor, the phosphor layer will act like a volume diffuser without introducing a down-conversion wavelength shift. If the incident beam wavelength is within the phosphor excitation spectra, the phosphor will be excited and emit a distribution of longer wavelength light with lower photon energy. The generated long wavelength light mixes with the remaining incident short wavelength light within the phosphor layer. This mixed light will eventually leave the phosphor sample in two directions: forward direction (transmission) and backward direction (reflection). Compared with previously reported methods, the unique features of our system include:

1. The variability of the incident light is totally excluded from the final result.
   a. The RF of incident light is monitored by the reference detector (D) during each measurement. Any fluctuation is then automatically compensated by the software during data processing.
   b. The SPDs for each measurement are subsequently normalized by dividing the measured SPDs by the radiant power of the incident light collected by the reference detector, which corrects for the difference of the power of the incident light with different wavelengths.

2. Simultaneous measurements of the transmitted and reflected light are made possible by two independent spectro-radiometers dedicated to two integrating spheres correspondingly. This feature helps to exclude the possible variations introduced by phosphor temperature, timing, sample loading position, etc.

3. A unique 3-step calibration procedure excludes the cross talk between the two integrating spheres through the port connecting them. After calibration, the uncertainty of this system for total RF measurement for each integrating sphere is approximately ±2%.

The system enabled us to take reflected and transmitted SPD data and to subsequently determine the excitation spectra, absorption spectra, and emission spectra of the phosphor samples. With these empirical phosphor spectra, we were able to calculate the down-conversion efficiency of each phosphor sample containing a specific concentration and thickness.

3 Modeling the Phosphor in a Monte Carlo Ray-Trace Simulation

The simulation and optimization of the phosphor model were conducted using LightTools® software. In order to model phosphor down-conversion and scattering, it is necessary to input the following parameters into LightTools®: the mean free path (MFP) for rays intersecting the phosphor particles as a free variable for parameter optimization. The scattered light intensity distribution for the phosphor particles and by leaving the size of the Mie scattering particles as a free variable for parameter optimization. The refractive index of phosphor particles was obtained from the manufacturer’s datasheet.

We created a simulation in LightTools® that simulates the input beam, the silicone encapsulated phosphor samples on glass and the double integrating sphere detector system similar to the instrument described in Sec. 2.2. These detectors in
the simulation collect the SPDs of reflected and transmitted light for all simulated samples. These SPDs then allowed us to calculate the total reflected and transmitted quantities: RF, LF, average CCT, and average chromaticity coordinates. For simplicity, we present only the transmitted and reflected RF results (calculated from the SPDs) of our measurements and simulations in this paper.

The initial attempt at modeling the phosphors based on the manufacturer’s average particle size and assumed MFP was unsuccessful in matching the empirical data collected by the double integrating sphere system. There are multiple potential reasons for the discrepancies: the shapes of the phosphor particles are irregular and cannot be accurately represented by a mathematical model; the suspension of phosphor particles in silicone is not uniform due to phosphor settling during the curing process. Also, the average particle size may not be adequate, and we may need an accurate particle size distribution. We subsequently optimized two parameters in the phosphor model (MFP and Mie particle size) to better represent the real situation. We utilized half of the empirical data from Sec. 2 for optimization and the remaining half for verification (see Sec. 4).

The merit function for optimization was based on the ratio of transmitted radiant flux (RF_T) over reflected radiant flux (RF_R), which we call the RF_Ratio (T/R). The merit function variance from the empirical data and the simulated data was minimized during the optimization process. Results of the RF_Ratio (T/R) from the optimized simulation and the empirical data used in optimization match well, as shown in Fig. 2. In all the following figures, the horizontal axis, ConcentrThick, is defined as the product of phosphor concentration (in % by weight) and phosphor layer thickness (μm), which is a relevant physical quantity related to the volume of phosphor with which light interacts.

4 Verification

The remaining half of the empirical data were used to verify the optimized phosphor model obtained in Sec. 3.

4.1 Incident Light Out of Phosphor Excitation Range

The excitation range of the phosphor used in this study is 370 to 485 nm, so incident light between 490 and 830 nm is out of the excitation range. For simplicity, only 550 nm incident light is shown in this section.

Figures 3–5 show the comparison between the optimized phosphor model simulation results and the verification measurement results. As one can see, the simulated data follow the measurement results very well.

According to Figs. 3 and 4, when increasing the volume of phosphor with which the light interacts, the radiant flux of the transmitted light decreases rapidly and the reflected light increases in a similar fashion. This trend can be qualitatively explained by Mie scattering theory. According to Mie theory, when a 550 nm ray meets a phosphor particle (typically with a size on the order of microns), the scattered light is not isotropic and there is more forward propagated light after the scattering process. With the volume of phosphor increasing either via higher phosphor concentration or a higher thickness, the forward propagated light will have more chances to be further scattered and change into backward propagated light. Therefore, one should expect the forward transmitting light to decrease and the backward reflected light to increase with a higher phosphor volume.

In Fig. 5, Sum_RF_Abs is the light loss due to absorption by the sample materials and due to the equipment. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the total light loss will increase for a higher volume of phosphor. This is because incident light will have

Fig. 2 Optimized simulation result versus empirical data used in optimization. The vertical axis is the ratio of transmitted radiant flux over reflected radiant flux. The horizontal axis is a product of phosphor concentration (in % by weight) and phosphor layer thickness (in μm).

Fig. 3 Optimized simulation result versus empirical data (for verification) of the transmitted radiant flux with 1 W 550 nm monochromatic (FWHM = 5 nm) incident light.

Fig. 4 Optimized simulation result versus empirical data (for verification) of the reflected radiant flux with 1 W 550 nm monochromatic (FWHM = 5 nm) incident light.
a higher probability to be scattered with more phosphor particles, which ends up with a longer optical path inside the phosphor/silicone media. Since the phosphor/silicone layer is not absorption free, the light loss due to material absorption will increase.

### 4.2 Incident Light Within Phosphor Excitation Range

If the wavelength of incident light is within the phosphor excitation range, other than the absorption and scattering mentioned in Sec. 4.1, the phosphor will be excited by the incident light and emit longer wavelength light, which induces more energy losses.

Any incident light between 370 and 485 nm is within the phosphor excitation range. For simplicity, only 455 nm incident light is presented and discussed in this section.

Figures 6 and 7 show the comparison of simulation results and empirical measured results. The simulation result matches reasonably well with the test result.

Figures 8 and 9 show the scattering characteristics of phosphor down-converted long wavelength light. When increasing the volume of phosphor, each short wavelength ray has a greater possibility to meet and excite a phosphor particle, therefore, the overall long wavelength light increases. It increases in both the forward (transmitted) and backward (reflected) directions. This trend agrees with Mie theory. One noteworthy observation of the graphs is that the increasing rate of long wavelength light decreases when the phosphor volume increases and eventually reaches saturation.
5 Conclusion

It is demonstrated that the double integrating sphere measurement system can be used to perform characterization of phosphors without building LED packages or employing a time-consuming trial and error process. This system was used to characterize phosphor absorption, excitation, and absorption spectra for both transmission and reflection modes as a function of input light wavelength. This determination of the absorption, excitation, and emission spectra of the phosphor can serve as input for building a phosphor simulation model. The data are critical to calculate the down conversion efficiency of phosphor and understand the energy budget during LED package design.

Also presented is a methodology for optimizing parameters within a phosphor Monte Carlo simulation model, with which the phosphor performance could be predicted. A simulation model of YAG phosphor was optimized and verified to match with data collected from the double integrating sphere system in reflection and transmission modes for input light either within or outside the excitation spectra of the phosphor.

Once a collection of such models for many phosphors is assembled, it is possible to easily determine the phosphor recipe for a given blue LED batch to produce white light that has predictable chromaticity and efficiency performance, which can save development time in LED packaging design and manufacturing.
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